IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1791 /2004

PETITIONER : Sudama Pandey, age 38 years,
S/O Late Ram Manohar Pandey
Constable 667,Qr No. 16/1
Police Line, Dantewada (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India,
Through : Secretary,
Public Grievances and
Govt. of India.

2. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : Secretary
Department of Home (Police)
Raipur (C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through : Secretary,
Department of Home (Police)
Bhopal(M.P.)

4. Inspector General of Police
Bastar Range, Jagdalpur(C.G.)

5. Director General of Police
Police Head Quarter,
Raipur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 1176/04
Presented by Shri Vaishnav
dated 28-6-04

Received Copy
Shiv Yadav
28.6.04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

RECEIVED COPY
28/6/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(69)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1791/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

09.07.2004

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up.

Shri Y.C.Sharma, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Senior Panel Lawyer for Union of India/respondent no.1 on advance copy.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General with Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.

I.A. No. 4245/2004 for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter relates to allocation of petitioners. He further submits that in similar matter i.e., W.P.No.578/2004 (Balendra Shekhar Mishra and others –vs- Union of India and others) orders have been passed by this Court on 17.12.2003. He also produced a copy of the order dated 18.6.2004 passed in W.P. 1560/2004 (Jitendra Singh Tomar and others –vs- Union of India and others). Learned counsel prays that similar order may be passed in this case also.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that relying on the statement of counsel for the petitioner made at the Bar, similar orders may be passed.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and considering the statement made by counsel for the petitioner at the Bar and in view of the judgment/orders cited above and further the legal position, the action of the State Authorities in not relieving the petitioners cannot be sustained and

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(70)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1701/04 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	the petitioners are entitled for relieving. The respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from the date of production of a copy of this order. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.1602/2004 also stands disposed of. C.C. as per rules. — Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

Rao/-